UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Plaintiff(s), EDULING CONFERENCE v. Defendant(s). Date: March 8, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m. READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY. IT DIFFERS IN SOME RESPECTS FROM THE LOCAL RULES. This case has been assigned to Judge Jacqueline H. Nguyen. This matter is

This case has been assigned to Judge Jacqueline H. Nguyen. This matter is set for a scheduling conference on the above date and time. If plaintiff has not already served the operative complaint on all defendants, plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three days thereafter. Defendants also shall timely serve and file their responsive pleadings and file proofs of service within three days thereafter. For record-keeping purposes, the Court will dismiss all remaining fictitiously-named defendants (i.e., those who have not been named) at the scheduling conference. The Court will also set a date by which motions to amend the pleadings or add parties must be heard.

The conference will be held pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereafter referred to as "Rule __"). The parties are reminded of their obligations under Rule 26(a)(1) to disclose information (without awaiting a discovery request), and under Rule 26(f) to confer on a discovery plan not later than 21 days before the scheduling conference and to file a "Joint Rule 26(f) Report" with the Court not later than 14 days after the conference. **Chambers** copies of the Joint Rule 26(f) Report and the ADR Pilot Program Questionnaire are to be delivered to the box outside Courtroom 1600, U.S. Courthouse, 312 N. Spring Street. Failure to comply with the following requirements or to cooperate in the preparation of the Joint Rule 26(f) Report may lead to the imposition of sanctions.

Counsel should begin to conduct discovery actively <u>before</u> the Scheduling Conference. At the very least, the parties shall comply fully with the letter and spirit of Rule 26(a) and thereby obtain and produce most of what would be produced in the early stage of discovery, because at the Scheduling Conference the Court will impose strict deadlines to complete discovery.

This Court does not exempt parties appearing *in propria persona* from compliance with any of the Local Rules, including Local Rule 16. "Counsel," as used in this order, includes parties appearing *in propria persona*.

1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report

The Joint Rule 26(f) Report, which shall be filed **not later than 7 days** before the scheduling conference, shall be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted and signed jointly. "Jointly" contemplates a single report, regardless of how many separately-represented parties there are. The Joint Rule 26(f) Report shall specify the date of the scheduling conference on the caption page. It shall report on all matters described below, which include those required to be discussed by Rule 26(f) and Local Rule 26:

changed or other limitations imposed, and whether the Court should

- enter other orders. A statement that discovery will be conducted as to all claims and defenses, or other vague description, is not acceptable.
- k. <u>Discovery cut-off</u>: a proposed discovery cut-off date. This means the final day for **completion of discovery**, including resolution of all discovery motions.
- 1. <u>Expert discovery</u>: proposed dates for expert witness disclosures (initial and rebuttal) and expert discovery cut-off under Rule 26(a)(2).
- m. <u>Dispositive motions</u>: a description of the issues or claims that any party believes may be determined by motion for summary judgment or motion *in limine*.
- n. <u>Settlement</u>: a statement of what settlement discussions or written communications have occurred (**excluding any statement of the terms discussed**) and a statement pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.4 selecting a settlement mechanism under that Rule. <u>Note:</u> If a case is selected for the ADR Pilot Program, the parties may choose private mediation (at their own expense) instead. The parties may **not** choose a settlement conference before the magistrate judge. No case will proceed to trial unless all parties, including the principals of all corporate parties, have appeared personally at a settlement conference.
- o. <u>Trial estimate</u>: a realistic estimate of the time required for trial and whether trial will be by jury or by court. Each side should specify (by number, not by name) how many witnesses it contemplates calling. If the time estimate for trial given in the Joint Rule 26(f) Report exceeds four court days, counsel shall be prepared to discuss in detail the estimate.
- p. <u>Trial counsel</u>: the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the case.
- q. <u>Independent Expert or Master</u>: whether this is a case where the Court should consider appointing a master pursuant to Rule 53 or an

r.

independent scientific expert. (The appointment of a master may be especially appropriate if there are likely to be substantial discovery disputes, numerous claims to be construed in connection with a summary judgment motion, a lengthy Daubert hearing, a resolution of a difficult computation of damages, etc.)

<u>Timetable</u>: complete the Schedule of Pretrial and Trial Dates form attached as Exhibit A to this Order and attach it to the Joint Rule **26(f) Report.** The entries in the "Weeks Before Trial" column reflect what the Court believes are appropriate for most cases and will allow the Court to rule on potentially dispositive motions sufficiently in advance of the pretrial conference. The form is designed to enable counsel to ask the Court to set different (earlier) last dates by which the key requirements must be completed. Each side should write in the month, day, and year it requests for each event. E.g., for the expert discovery cut-off it might be "1/11/10" for plaintiff and "1/25/10" for defendant, if they cannot agree. At the conference, the Court will review this form with counsel. Each entry proposing Court dates shall fall on a Monday, except the trial date, which is a Tuesday. Counsel should insure that requested dates do not fall on a holiday. In appropriate cases the Court will order different dates after it hears from counsel. The discovery cut-off date is the last day by which all depositions must be completed, responses to previously-served written discovery must be provided, and motions concerning discovery disputes must be heard. The cut-off date for motions is the last date on which motions may be heard, not filed. The Court is not likely to continue this date, and will not do so unless the trial date is also continued.

28

24

25

26

s. Other issues: a statement of any other issues affecting the status or management of the case (*e.g.*, unusually complicated technical or technological issues, disputes over protective orders, extraordinarily voluminous document production, non-English speaking witnesses, ADA-related issues, discovery in foreign jurisdictions, etc.) and any proposals concerning severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

The Joint Rule 26(f) Report should set forth the above-described information under section headings corresponding to those in this Order.

2. <u>Scheduling Conference</u>

Scheduling conferences will be held in Courtroom 1600, U.S. Courthouse, 312 N. Spring Street. Counsel shall comply with the following:

- a. Participation: The lead trial attorney **must** attend the scheduling conference, unless excused by the Court for good cause shown in advance of the scheduling conference. When seeking permission not to attend, lead counsel must identify the person who will appear by name and bar number, and specify that person's involvement in the case. The Court may choose to postpone the scheduling conference rather than to permit counsel other than lead counsel to attend. Local counsel may not appear at a scheduling conference in place of lead counsel. Counsel should not purport to be "co-lead" counsel as a means of avoiding this requirement.
- b. <u>Continuance</u>: A continuance of the scheduling conference will be granted only for good cause.

3. <u>Notice to be Provided by Counsel</u>

Plaintiff's counsel or, if plaintiff is appearing *pro se*, defendant's counsel, shall provide this Order to any parties who first appear after the date of this Order and to parties who are known to exist but have not yet entered appearances.

4. <u>Disclosures to Clients</u>

Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order and of the Court's trial order, which will contain the schedule that the Court sets at the scheduling conference.

5. Court's Website

Copies of this and all other orders of this Court that may become applicable to this case are available on the Central District of California website, at www.cacd.uscourts.gov, under "Judge's Procedures and Schedules." Copies of the Local Rules are available on the website.

The Court thanks the parties and their counsel for their anticipated cooperation in complying with these requirements.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 21, 2010

Jacqueline H. Nguyen United States District Judge

SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES

CASE NAME:

CASE NO:

Matter	Time	Weeks before trial	Plaintiff(s) Request	Defendant(s) Request	Court Order
Trial (jury)(court) (lengthdays) (Tuesday)	9:00 am				
For Court Trial Lodge Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, LR 52, and Summaries of Direct Testimony		3			
Pretrial Conference, LR 16; Hearing on Motions in Limine	10:30 am	4			
For Jury Trial Lodge Pretrial Conference Order, LR 16-7; File Agreed Set of Jury Instructions and Verdict Forms; File Statement Regarding Disputed Instructions, Verdicts, etc.; File Oppositions to Motions in Limine		6			
For Jury Trial File Memo of Contentions of Fact and Law, LR 16-4; Exhibit & Witness Lists, LR 16-5,6; File Status Report Regarding Settlement; File Motions in Limine		7			
Last date to conduct Settlement Conf., LR 16-15		12			
Last day for hearing motions, LR 7		14			
Non-expert Discovery Cut-off					
Expert Disclosure (initial)					
Expert Disclosure (rebuttal)					
Expert Discovery Cut-off					
Last Date to Amend Pleadings or Add Parties					
LR 16-15 Settlement Choice:	1. USM	J (not available t	for cases	3. Outside AD	R

LR 16-15 Settlement Choice:		1. USMJ (not available for cases		3. Outside ADF		
in ADR Pilot Program)						
		2. Attorney Settlement Panel				

Exhibit A